Outras jurisdições

Dr Alexander Ressos, TEP
Attorney-at-Law (Switzerland and Germany),
Solicitor (England & Wales and Hong Kong)
Of Counsel, KGP Legal LLC
alexander.ressos@kgplegal.com.sg
www.ressos.com.sg

Caso	Citação	Tribunal	Proposição
AA v Persons Unknown	[2019] EWHC 3556 (Comm)	High Court of England and Wales (Bryan J)	Criptoativos são propriedade para fins de uma proprietary injunction, com adoção da declaração da UK Jurisdiction Taskforce.
Ion Science Ltd v Persons Unknown	Não publicada, 21 de dezembro de 2020	High Court of England and Wales (Butcher J)	A lex situs de um criptoativo é o lugar de domicílio de seu titular; ordens Bankers Trust contra exchanges estrangeiras.
Wang v Darby	[2021] EWHC 3054 (Comm)	High Court of England and Wales (Stephen Houseman QC)	Inexistência de trust sobre tokens tezos permutados no âmbito de um acordo bilateral; prevaleceu o contrato entre as partes.
Tulip Trading Ltd v Van der Laan	[2023] EWCA Civ 83	Court of Appeal of England and Wales	Questão séria a ser julgada sobre se os desenvolvedores do bitcoin têm deveres fiduciários para com

© 2026 Dr Alexander Ressos. General information only, not legal, tax or investment advice. No client relationship is created. All Singapore law advice is provided by a duly licensed and regulated firm of Singapore advocates and solicitors only on the basis of an express written letter of engagement. More information at SingaporeIQ.com and ressos.com.sg.

Caso	Citação	Tribunal	Proposição
			titulares que perderam suas chaves.
Osbourne v Persons Unknown	[2022] EWHC 1021 (Comm)	High Court of England and Wales	NFTs são propriedade; injunction e ordens de exibição.
Piroozzadeh v Persons Unknown	[2023] EWHC 1024 (Ch)	High Court of England and Wales (Trower J)	Injunction contra uma exchange revogada; limites da tutela fundada em propriedade contra uma exchange que misturou depósitos.
D'Aloia v Persons Unknown	[2024] EWHC 2342 (Ch)	High Court of England and Wales (Richard Farnhill, Deputy Judge)	USDT é propriedade suscetível de rastreamento (tracing) e de ser mantida em trust; no julgamento, a pretensão fracassou quanto ao rastreamento através de uma conta com recursos misturados.
Ruscoe v Cryptopia Ltd (in liquidation)	[2020] NZHC 728	High Court of New Zealand (Gendall J)	Criptoativos são propriedade; a exchange mantinha as moedas dos clientes em express trust, um trust por tipo de moeda.
Re Gatecoin Ltd	[2023] HKCFI 914	Court of First Instance, Hong Kong (Linda Chan J)	Criptoativos são propriedade segundo o direito de Hong Kong; saber se os ativos dos clientes eram mantidos em trust dependia dos termos de serviço em vigor.
Nico Constantijn Antonius Samara v Stive Jean Paul Dan	[2022] HKCFI 1254	Court of First Instance, Hong Kong	O bitcoin é propriedade suscetível de ser objeto de uma injunction do tipo Mareva.

© 2026 Dr Alexander Ressos. General information only, not legal, tax or investment advice. No client relationship is created. All Singapore law advice is provided by a duly licensed and regulated firm of Singapore advocates and solicitors only on the basis of an express written letter of engagement. More information at SingaporeIQ.com and ressos.com.sg.

Caso	Citação	Tribunal	Proposição
SEC v Ripple Labs Inc	682 F. Supp. 3d 308 (S.D.N.Y. 2023)	United States District Court, Southern District of New York (Torres J)	As vendas institucionais de XRP eram investment contracts; as vendas programáticas em exchanges não eram.
SEC v Terraform Labs Pte Ltd	684 F. Supp. 3d 170 (S.D.N.Y. 2023)	United States District Court, Southern District of New York (Rakoff J)	Tokens Terra oferecidos como investment contracts; o teste Howey aplicado a um emissor de Singapura.
In re Celsius Network LLC (programa Earn)	Bankr. S.D.N.Y., 4 de janeiro de 2023	United States Bankruptcy Court	Segundo os termos de uso, os criptoativos nas contas Earn pertenciam à massa, e os clientes eram credores quirografários.
Skatteverket v David Hedqvist	Processo C-264/14, ECLI:EU:C:2015:718	Tribunal de Justiça da União Europeia	A troca de bitcoin por moeda tradicional é uma prestação de serviços isenta de IVA, como operação relativa a divisas.

Disclaimer

This article is provided for general information only. It is based on publicly available sources as at its date of publication. These sources have not been independently verified against licences, regulatory registers or the records of the entities concerned. It is not legal, tax, financial or investment advice. Nor is it a recommendation to invest in, transact with or use the services of any entity mentioned. Law and regulation change frequently, so the content may be incomplete or outdated. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Dr Alexander Ressos and the publisher of SingaporeIQ exclude all liability for loss or damage arising from the use of or reliance on this article. Readers should obtain advice on their specific circumstances before acting or refraining from action.

© 2026 Dr Alexander Ressos. General information only, not legal, tax or investment advice. No client relationship is created. All Singapore law advice is provided by a duly licensed and regulated firm of Singapore advocates and solicitors only on the basis of an express written letter of engagement. More information at SingaporeIQ.com and ressos.com.sg.

Names and trademarks of the entities discussed belong to their owners and are used for identification only. Their mention implies no endorsement, affiliation or allegation of wrongdoing. All Singapore law advice is provided by a duly licensed and regulated firm of Singapore advocates and solicitors only on the basis of an express written letter of engagement. Corrections and updates: contact@ressos.com.

© 2026 Dr Alexander Ressos. This article may be quoted with attribution to SingaporeIQ (SingaporeIQ.com). Reproduction in full and any commercial use require prior written consent. The Legal Terms at https://singaporeiq.com/legal-terms apply.

Author contact: Dr Alexander Ressos, TEP · contact@ressos.com

© 2026 Dr Alexander Ressos. General information only, not legal, tax or investment advice. No client relationship is created. All Singapore law advice is provided by a duly licensed and regulated firm of Singapore advocates and solicitors only on the basis of an express written letter of engagement. More information at SingaporeIQ.com and ressos.com.sg.